

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,793		02/15/2001	Noel Ray Marchbanks	1182a	2725
28004	7590	05/04/2004		EXAM	INER
SPRINT			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C		
••••	6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			3624	
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/784,793	MARCHBANKS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard C Weisberger	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	-	the correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT at statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the appli							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
• —	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alaction requirement	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	, ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-16 lack sufficient technology. The PTO requires reference to a technology in a nontrivial way in the body of the claim. Relying on Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001)(Unpublished), the instant claims represent merely an abstract idea. The applicant arques that the method claim is not required to reference a computer and requests MPEP support for this rejection. As stated in the prima facie case, current office procedure is following the Guidance of Ex Parte Bowman. The applicant also argues that because claim 1 is a method of billing in a billing system for a communication network and that because a communication network can read on a statutory machine the claim is statutory. However, if one reads a communication network as a machine, line 2 of claim 1 where this limitation is introduced, would read in a billing system for a machine. The examiner is reading the communication network to be a nonstructural entity of sorts, as this is the only definition that seems to make sense given the claim language. In addition, the billing system can read either on a non structural billing method or a structural system of parts. The applicant is encouraged to amend the system to either a

Art Unit: 3624

method or a physical component of parts and to amend the communication network so that it reads on a physical network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claims, a billing system, a call processing system and a billing system are indefinite in that it can not be determined if the system(s) are a system of components or a method.

Other rejections under this paragraph have been withdrawn.

3. This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete reply to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 703 308 4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 703 308 1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624

Art Unit: 3624

37 CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

In response to this requirement, please state the specific improvements of the subject matter of claims 1-16 over the disclosed prior art (Is the Invoice Processing System (IPS) prior art?) and indicate the specific elements in the claimed subject matter that provide those improvements.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under

37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

Art Unit: 3624

Respecfully,

RICHARD WEISBERGER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Richard Weisberger

Primary Examiner 3624

Vince Millin

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3624